



OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

ANDREASON

Atty. Ref.: 1410-762; Confirmation No. 8452

Appl. No. 09/898,480

TC/A.U. 2618

Filed: July 5, 2001

Examiner: Aminzay, Shaima Q.

For: AN ARRANGEMENT AND A METHOD IN A TELEPHONY SYSTEM

* * * * *

July 30, 2010

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

CLARIFICATION LETTER

Applicant agrees with the Board and the Examiner that the combination of features recited in each of the independent claims is patentable. However, a review of the Board's decision revealed a use of the term "stationary wireline phone." Wireline is understood by Applicants as another way of identifying a stationary phone. For the record, the claims use the term "stationary telephony terminal" and do not use the term "wireline." Although the specification describes a non-limiting example where a stationary telephony terminal may have "similarities with a conventional stationary deskphone," (see the specification at page 6, lines 13-15), the claimed stationary telephony terminal need not include the features normally found in a conventional deskphone. The specification, for example, explains that the stationary telephony terminal does not need wire for connection to a telephony network, etc.

The intent is for the claim term "stationary telephony terminal" to be interpreted using only the plain and ordinary meanings for the individual words stationary, telephony, and

ANDREASON

Appl. N^o. 09/898,480

July 30, 2010

terminal. This broad meaning of "stationary telephony terminal" is the meaning that is understood when the term "stationary wireline phone" is used in the papers.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: _____



John R. Lastova

Reg. No. 33,149

JRL:maa

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100